



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,506	06/28/2001	Jin Murayama	107317-00032	4994	
	7590 01/30/2007 XINTNER PLOTKIN & F	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			VIEAUX, GARY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3			2622		
			·		
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2007 .	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/892,506	MURAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gary C. Vieaux	2622		

	Gary C. Vieaux	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
n) ☑ The period for reply expires <u>a</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a pon-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-17</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		·		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Evidence traversing rejections submitted by Applicants, including affidavits or declarations submitted under 37 CFR 1.132, must meet certain criteria, including timeliness, in order to be entered and entitled to consideration. For an affidavit or declaration to be considered timely after final rejection, but before or on the same date of filing of an appeal, there must be a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not presented earlier in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116(e). (See MPEP 716.01).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER